

**From:** [bounce@bounce.votervoice.net](mailto:bounce@bounce.votervoice.net) on behalf of [William Rawlings](#)  
**To:** [S Legislation](#)  
**Subject:** OPPOSE S2269  
**Date:** Thursday, March 26, 2026 1:20:09 PM

---

Dear Committee Clerk Rocha,

As an example, the city of Woonsocket hits properties with violations that the code enforcement officials themselves often cannot even recollect or justify. This bill would give a destructive power to city officials who base their decision on highly subjective matters.

I oppose S2269. Requiring municipalities to notify tenants of alleged housing violations before landlords have an opportunity to verify or remedy the issue is premature and may create unnecessary confusion. In many cases, a violation may pertain only to specific units or tenants, not the entire property, and broad notification can lead to misunderstandings among unaffected residents.

Providing landlords with the initial opportunity to assess and address potential violations supports more efficient resolution and clearer communication. Direct notification to tenants at an early stage may inadvertently foster tension, as tenants could interpret the notice as inaction or neglect, even when landlords are actively working to resolve the issue.

For these reasons, I respectfully oppose this legislation. Thank you.

Sincerely,

William Rawlings  
564 S Water St  
Providence, RI 02903  
[willhrawlings@gmail.com](mailto:willhrawlings@gmail.com)