

**From:** [bounce@bounce.votervoice.net](mailto:bounce@bounce.votervoice.net) on behalf of [Michelle Le Brun](#)  
**To:** [SLegislation](#)  
**Subject:** OPPOSE S 2269  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 24, 2026 4:40:12 PM

---

[You don't often get email from [michelle@harkenprods.com](mailto:michelle@harkenprods.com). Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

Dear Committee Clerk Rocha,

I oppose S2269 Requiring cities to disclose violations immediately to tenants undermines, the already established legal process. A landlord should have the ability to receive notice and remedy the problem before a tenant is notified. At times a description of a violation may sound more intense than what the reality of the situation is. Oftentimes, a violation can be for something that is simple and a byproduct of normal wear and tear or even storm damage. These scenarios are very different than negligence. This could lead to negative landlord-tenant relationships even when a property owner is responsive.

Thank you for your consideration.

I oppose S2269 Requiring cities to disclose violations immediately to tenants undermines, the already established legal process. A landlord should have the ability to receive notice and remedy the problem before a tenant is notified. At times a description of a violation may sound more intense than what the reality of the situation is. Oftentimes, a violation can be for something that is simple and a byproduct of normal wear and tear or even storm damage. These scenarios are very different than negligence. This could lead to negative landlord-tenant relationships even when a property owner is responsive.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Michelle Le Brun  
82 Camp St  
Providence, RI 02906  
[michelle@harkenprods.com](mailto:michelle@harkenprods.com)