

From: bounce@bounce.votervoice.net on behalf of [Arthur Yatsko](#)
To: [SLegislation](#)
Subject: OPPOSE S2269
Date: Wednesday, March 25, 2026 8:50:06 AM

Dear Committee Clerk Rocha,

If a code violation exists and affects the tenant the tenant is already aware of it. Why include other tenants who are not. The only time a tenant should be in the loop is when it is that tenant's violation of the house rules that have caused the violation in the first place. Such as storage blocking a hallway or un-secure trash or disabled smoke alarms etc.

I oppose S2269. Requiring municipalities to notify tenants of alleged housing violations before landlords have an opportunity to verify or remedy the issue is premature and may create unnecessary confusion. In many cases, a violation may pertain only to specific units or tenants, not the entire property, and broad notification can lead to misunderstandings among unaffected residents.

Providing landlords with the initial opportunity to assess and address potential violations supports more efficient resolution and clearer communication. Direct notification to tenants at an early stage may inadvertently foster tension, as tenants could interpret the notice as inaction or neglect, even when landlords are actively working to resolve the issue.

For these reasons, I respectfully oppose this legislation. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arthur Yatsko
452 Heath Ave
Warwick, RI 02888
art_yatsko@yahoo.com