



128 Dorrance Street, Suite 400
Providence, RI 02903
Phone: (401) 831-7171
Fax: (401) 831-7175
www.riaclu.org
info@riaclu.org

ACLU OF RI POSITION: OPPOSE

TESTIMONY ON 26-H 8482, RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLES – ACCIDENTS AND ACCIDENT REPORTS May 5, 2026

The ACLU of Rhode Island opposes this legislation which aims to significantly increase the prison sentences for certain motor vehicle-related offenses, by doubling the current sentence that can currently be imposed.

The bill addresses serious crimes that involve conduct that deserves to be punished. But its focus – sending people to prison for longer periods of time – is simply not the answer. This approach will not deter reckless or drunk driving, nor will it assist in the rehabilitation of offenders. Instead, it will only divert limited fiscal resources away from approaches that would better address this driving behavior. Further, by failing to present any fact-based evidence that these increased penalties will have any deterrent effect, the bills are antithetical to the “justice reinvestment” approach that the General Assembly has embraced in recent years.

As you have heard from the ACLU and the Public Defender over the years, there is a significant cost to the state in regularly, and somewhat arbitrarily, increasing the sentences for various crimes without considering their fiscal impact. Outside the criminal context, the financial costs of any bill are thoroughly scrutinized, and the time has long since gone for “get tough on crime” legislation to get a free pass from that scrutiny. The adverse fiscal, social and policy impact from the continued passage of legislation increasing prison sentences for crimes without any underlying evidence-based rationale is real and should be rejected.

Without minimizing the severity of the offenses covered by this legislation, if just *one* person spends an extra 10 years in prison because of the increased penalties, it will cost the taxpayers approximately half-a-million dollars. Is this the best way to expend limited resources? Half a million dollars, we submit, could be much better spent on prevention and treatment than on the upkeep of a prison cell.

In short, strong penalties are already in place for these crimes, and without in any way denigrating the seriousness of the offenses, we urge the Committee to be “smart on crime” and reject this proposal.

Thank you for considering our views.