

Opposition to House Bill No. 7754

Chairman and Members of the Committee,

Good afternoon. My name is Stephen Daignault, and I am here to express opposition to House Bill 7754.

While this bill is framed as an administrative or privacy-related change, it exists within a broader pattern of incremental regulation surrounding the lawful exercise of Second Amendment rights. The right to keep and bear arms is a fundamental constitutional protection, and that right is not confined by municipal boundaries—it is recognized as an individual right of citizens throughout the United States.

Legislation that continues to modify, condition, or layer additional constraints onto that right—whether directly or indirectly—raises legitimate constitutional concerns. Even when proposals appear limited in scope, they contribute to a cumulative effect where the exercise of a fundamental right becomes increasingly regulated and uncertain over time.

There is also a growing concern among constituents that their constitutional rights are being addressed through piecemeal legislation rather than being preserved and respected as foundational liberties. People are paying attention to these continued changes, and many are questioning whether their elected representatives are upholding the rights they were entrusted to protect.

The Second Amendment, like the other rights enumerated in the Constitution, is not intended to be incrementally diminished. Any legislation that touches on firearm ownership, permitting, or access must be carefully scrutinized through that lens. Constituents expect consistency in the defense of their rights, not a steady expansion of regulatory frameworks.

For these reasons, I respectfully urge the Committee to oppose House Bill 7754. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Stephen Daignault