

ACLU OF RI POSITION: SUPPORT

**TESTIMONY ON 26-H 7205,
AN ACT RELATED TO CRIMINAL PROCEDURE -- SENTENCING
RECONSIDERATION ACT
April 2, 2026**

The ACLU of Rhode Island supports this bill, which would allow individuals serving long sentences to request reconsideration and reduction of their sentence after serving ten years.

Obviously, people who commit serious crimes and represent a danger to society deserve punishment. However, the overwhelming number of offenders, including violent offenders, “age out” of the impulsive actions that often have prompted their commission of the crime at a young age for which they are serving time. Imposing extraordinarily long sentences robs individuals of rehabilitative hope, one of the purported goals of incarceration. Perhaps most importantly from the state’s – and the taxpayer’s – point of view, it is extraordinarily wasteful in terms of public resources and dollars.

Lengthy prison sentences are often counter-productive, extremely expensive to the taxpaying public, harmful to families, and undermine rehabilitation. There is also a clear discriminatory impact to lengthy sentencing. For example, according to a report from The Sentencing Project, an incredible 59% of the people at the ACI serving life sentences are Black or Latino.¹

The Sentencing Project has also noted that over 30% of the ACI’s population consists of people who are 55 years of age and older.² Prisons across the country, including the ACI, are fast becoming geriatric facilities. A few years ago, in partial recognition of this problem, the General Assembly approved amendments to the state’s “medical parole” statute to address the geriatric consequences of long sentences. Prison facilities do not have the means or capability to serve the medical needs of elderly prisoners, and the public is not served in any way by keeping people locked up for decades – and even in some cases for the last years of life – when they clearly represent no threat to society and have just as clearly “served their time.”

It is important to emphasize that this bill leaves to the full discretion of the court whether to consider a reduction of sentence based on an examination of a variety of factors, including input from the victim. But to completely close the door on any opportunity whatsoever for parole – even after a person has served 30, 40 or 50 years in prison – is short-sighted, extremely cruel and wasteful. The ACLU therefore urges the committee’s support for this legislation.

¹ <https://www.sentencingproject.org/reports/a-matter-of-life-the-scope-and-impact-of-life-and-long-term-imprisonment-in-the-united-states/>

² <https://www.sentencingproject.org/app/uploads/2022/08/No-End-in-Sight-Americas-Enduring-Reliance-on-Life-Imprisonment.pdf>, page 22.