

## Ariana Costa

---

**From:** bounce@bounce.votervoice.net on behalf of Christopher Smith <user@votervoice.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 17, 2026 7:35 AM  
**To:** House Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Oppose H8141

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Dear Committee Clerk DiMezza,

Not only are these bills unfair and un-American but the legislators who continue to submit them should be removed from the Committees that they sit on. Why is taxpayer time and dollars being wasted on the same bills that keep getting defeated time and time again?

Please consider the following points on why I oppose H8141

- Mandates annual data reporting and surveillance of landlords, tenants, and legal outcomes, raising privacy concerns.
- No clear funding mechanism outlined; taxpayers may be burdened with high ongoing costs for legal representation, administration, and community education.
- Risk of duplication of services with existing legal aid organizations, leading to inefficiencies and wasted resources.
- Provides free attorneys to tenants only, potentially creating a legal imbalance in housing court that unfairly burdens small landlords who must pay their own legal fees.
- Could delay proceedings and increase litigation costs for landlords, including for justified evictions such as nonpayment or lease violations.
- Creates disincentives for landlords to rent housing, for fear of prolonged legal battles and legal entanglements.
- Could lead to increased housing costs or reduced rental availability, as landlords factor in the cost and risk of dealing with state-funded legal opposition.
- Imposes new lease requirements, potentially invalidating existing lease language and increasing legal risks for landlords.
- Demands public disclosure of landlords involved in eviction proceedings, which may unjustly stigmatize landlords regardless of case merits.
- Mandates sheriffs and constables to distribute state-created pamphlets during service of process, adding tasks outside their core duties.
- Imposes notice requirements on all residential leases, creating compliance headaches and legal exposure for property managers and landlords.
- The broad definition of “eligible individuals” and “covered proceedings” could allow for frivolous or strategic litigation to delay rightful evictions.
- May encourage tenant noncompliance or nuisance behavior, knowing legal aid is guaranteed and eviction becomes more difficult.

Please oppose this bill.

Sincerely,

Christopher Smith  
228 Taunton Ave Apt 1  
East Providence, RI 02914  
smitdy@yahoo.com