



February 26<sup>th</sup> 2026,

Honorable David Bennett, Chair and Members of the Committee on Environmental and Natural Resources, House of Representatives,

**In re: Opposing H 7620 relating to the Plastic Waste Conversion Facility Act**

We the undersigned organizations representing industries and workers across Rhode Island respectfully request your opposition of H 7620 – Plastic Waste Conversion Facility Act. As currently written the bill includes several broad definitions that would imperil Rhode Island’s ability to employ new advanced recycling technologies that recycle more types of post-use plastics.

Advanced recycling technologies enable plastics that currently do not have strong end markets (e.g. films, pouches and tubes) to be converted back to their basic chemical building blocks. These chemical building blocks can go back into new food grade plastics, useful chemicals, and other products like waxes, lubricants and ultra-low sulfur transportation fuels. Technologies such as pyrolysis and gasification heat plastics in an oxygen deprived environment and convert the plastics to liquid feedstocks that can be remanufactured into a versatile mix of new products for remanufacturing. Conversely, the purpose of solid waste incineration facilities is to destroy waste materials via combustion or burning.

Unfortunately, H 7620 seeks to define technologies that define pyrolysis and gasification and link these technologies to the destruction of waste materials. This contradicts legislation that has been adopted in 25 other states, most recently Michigan and Utah. **Advanced recycling is NOT combustion or burning.** Technologies such as pyrolysis and gasification heat plastics in an oxygen deprived environment and convert the plastics to liquid feedstocks that can be remanufactured into a versatile mix of new products.

From an environmental standpoint, the emissions for these facilities are low. A [recent report](#) found that advanced recycling facilities have emissions that are on par or lower than industrial facilities such as food manufacturing and community institutions such as hospitals and universities. These technologies do not produce pollutants like dioxins and are strictly regulated and monitored by federal, state, and local air emissions authorities.

In closing, we would like to reiterate the importance of distinguishing the conversion of everyday household plastic items and packaging via manufacturing processes such as pyrolysis and gasification from the destruction and disposal of hazardous, non-plastic waste. The processes which remanufacture plastics back into useful products should remain distinct from other processes that dispose of waste. We urge the committee to oppose H 7620 to ensure that Rhode Island's ability to recycle and recover more types of plastics be protected, while simultaneously ensuring state leaders can protect their communities from unwanted development.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

The Adhesive and Sealant Council

Alliance for Chemical Distribution (ACD)

American Apparel and Footwear Association

American Chemistry Council

American Cleaning Institute (ACI)

American Coatings Association (ACA)

American Fuels & Petrochemical Manufacturers

Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers

Association of the Nonwoven Fabrics Industry (INDA)

Berry Global

Braven Environmental

Consumer Brands Association

Communications Cable & Connectivity Association (CCCA)

Flexible Packaging Association

Household and Commercial Products Association

International Sleep Products Association (ISPA)

Plastic Energy

Plastics Industry Association

Printing United Alliance

Sealed Air

Spray Polyurethane Foam Alliance

U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association

Vinyl Institute

Vinyl Siding Institute