

To: Chair Bennett, Vice Chairs Phillips and Carson, and Members of the RI House Environment and Natural Resources Committee:

My name is Sheila Resseger. I am in strong support of H7295, The Rhode Island Clean Air Preservation Act. I have been a resident of Cranston, RI for many years. I am confident that all of you as public servants take your responsibilities to safeguard all Rhode Islanders and our precious and priceless environment very seriously. As public officials, you each take an oath of office to support the Constitution of RI. The RI Constitution states that the people "shall be secure in their rights to the use and enjoyment of the natural resources of the state with due regard for the preservation of their values; and it shall be the duty of the general assembly to provide for the conservation of the air, land, water, plant, animal, mineral and other natural resources of the state, and to adopt all means necessary and proper by law to protect the natural environment of the people of the state by providing adequate resource planning for the control and regulation of the use of the natural resources of the state and for the preservation, regeneration and restoration of the natural environment of the state."

Please keep in mind that good intentions can and often do result in negative unintended consequences. The goal of averting a climate crisis does not justify experimenting with processes that are already known to be harmful. The chemical assaults from weather modification procedures, as well as the radiofrequency assaults from wireless infrastructure, cause harm to humans and all living beings in our environment. These substances are in fact pollutants. There are decades of peer-reviewed research which attest to this.

You may be under the assumption that our federal agencies are monitoring these discharges and protecting the public. That assumption is false. The FCC, FDA, CDC, EPA, and NIH, which should have been protecting the public for decades, have been derelict in their duty. That duty now is in your hands.

On page 15 of the document titled "Congressionally Mandated Research Plan and Initial Research Governance Framework Related to Solar Radiation Modification, June 2023" it states: "A variety of unintended outcomes of SRM [solar radiation modification] are not well understood, and there may be others of which we are not aware."

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/Congressionally-Mandated-Report-on-Solar-Radiation-Modification.pdf>

We do not consent to experimentation on humans and our environment with the use of

known pollutants.

Please do your due diligence and recognize that Rhode Islanders deserve the protections that H7295 affords. Vote YES on H7295. Thank you

Sincerely,

Sheila Resseger, M.A.

50 Malvern Avenue

Cranston, RI 02905